EXPERT REPORT

BY

BILLY R. CLAY MS, DVM, DABYT

FOR The Defendants in the:

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel, W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, and OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT, in his capacity as the TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

Plaintiff

VS.

TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC., COBB-VANTRESS, INC., CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC. CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC., CARGILL, INC., CARGILL TURKEY PRODUCTION, LLC, GEORGE'S, INC., GEORGE'S FARMS, INC., PETERSON FARMS, INC., SIMMONS FOODS, INC. AND WILLOWBROOK FOODS, INC.,

Defendants

CASE NO. 05-CV-0329 GKF-SAJ. IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

November 29, 2008

growing seasons (Zhang 2002, Mullins 2002, Mitchell 1995, Zublena 1997, Vest 2004). The added water holding capacity allows crop plants to survive during periods of low rainfall and flourish during periods of adequate rainfall. The responsive growth of vegetation serves well to minimize erosion of surface soils.

Commercial inorganic fertilizers tend to be acidifying to soils. This is an undesirable trait in soils typical of the IRW. Those soils are acid prone and require the addition of lime periodically for maximum production. Poultry litter does not contribute in the same way to the acidity and it provides the additional intrinsic calcium and magnesium to further aid in acid neutralization (Zhang 1998). Likewise, poultry litter offers less soluble phosphorus for transport during excessive rainfall events (Edwards et al. 1994, Franklin et al. 2005 and Gaudreau et al. 2002).

In a ten-year study conducted by the US Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service (Sainju 2007) the organic matrix of poultry litter increased soil carbon storage and microbial biomass and activity compared to inorganic fertilization. The advantage existed regardless of the cropping system (tilled with litter vs. no-till with litter applied to the surface). The conclusion was that carbon becomes sequestered in the soil surface which helps to offset atmospheric carbon dioxide and improve soil and environmental quality. For all the reasons cited above poultry litter serves as a valuable soil amendment as well as fertilizer source.

The increased demand for organic and/or natural food products has added another dimension of value to poultry and other farm animal manures. Products that carry the organic label must be grown in or on soils using fertilizers from an organic source. This market offers another opportunity for the small farming enterprise to remain profitable. Several organic food production farms exist in the region of the IRW where beef, vegetable, fruit and other farms are in operation (Kerr Center 2006 and Organic Resource Guide 2006).

AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES IN THE IRW (Opinions 3 through 8)

The IRW consists of approximately 1.1 million acres of land most of which is used for agricultural purposes. Using the 2002 National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) census data provided at the county and zip code levels, the farm acres were calculated to be 698,525- about 65 percent of the total. Four thousand four hundred eight-two farms reported for that year (Appendix A, Table A-B and Appendix B). Approximately, 6,525 additional property owners of 5 acres, or greater, were not included in that summary and did not report the use of their acreage to NASS (Appendix I). The bulk of the land on the reported farms is devoted to cattle production (565,000 acres). Approximately 199,000 cattle were present in the watershed at the time of the 2002 census. Of that total 10,829 were dairy cattle. Hog, sheep, horse and miscellaneous animal and crop farms also exist. In addition, at least 3 commercial plant nurseries exist in the IRW.

Poultry farms are in higher concentration on the Arkansas side of the watershed (463 versus 140 on the Oklahoma side) while farm acreage is more closely divided with 53.3 percent in AR and 46.7 in OK. The predominant bird type produced is broilers with layers, breeders and turkeys following in that order. The average farm has approximately 3 houses in active production for a total of 1,809 houses (Appendix B, OCC 1999 and 2007). Litter from the houses serves as an important source of fertilizer for the farms in the IRW as well as those outside the watershed (U. of AR and OSU Cooperative Extension Ser.). The Oklahoma Conservation Commission (OCC) report dated September 2007 showed litter removal was fairly consistent on a monthly basis throughout much of the year with the higher amounts June through November and lower amounts December through May.

The bulk of the farm land is planted to permanent pasture or hay (334,228 acres). In addition there are 157,048 acres in which forage is grown for cattle (mostly notill) and 74,368 acres of woodlands that are used as pasture. Approximately, 2,500 acres are devoted to the production of wheat, corn and soybeans. Those proportions are shown below:

Crop/pasture	Acres
Permanent pasture/cattle	184,411
Hay/harvested forage	149,817
Forage for grazing	157,048
Woodland pastures	74,368
Soybeans	1,960
Corn	354
Wheat	206
Total	568,164

At least 494,000 acres of farm land shown above is readily available for equipment access for farming. There is an additional 130,000 acres (mostly in small tracts) devoted to truck farming, nurseries, orchards, poultry houses, horses, swine, sheep and goats and other specialty farming. Some of that acreage would also be accessible to farm equipment.

Beef cattle production in the IRW involves a cow/calf year around system in which the primary product is the produced calf that is marketed in the fall of the year. At the time of the census (January) the cattle herd consists of brood cows, bulls, replacement heifers, carried-over calf crop (including fall calves and dairy calves) and purchased cattle. From February to November the current-year calf crop is produced and sold. There are a few fall calving producers but they are in the minority (county agents and area specialists).